

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/801,617	NORDEN, HAHN VO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeremy S. Cerullo	2112	

All Participants:

(1) Jeremy S. Cerullo.

(2) Alan Christenson.

Date of Interview: 27 April 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to suggest an Examiner's Amendment to put independent claims 1 and 22 in condition for allowance. The suggestion included incorporating limitations similar to independent claim 8 into claims 1 and 22. The representative indicated that he would need to consult his client and then contact the examiner. The representative called the examiner accepting the recommendations and faxed a draft copy of the claims to the examiner. .